

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/911,918 | 07/24/2001 | | Suresh Golwalkar | 35706.9100 | 4220 |
| 34398 | 7590 | 11/30/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| PRIMARIO | , | 4 DDH III | DINH, JACK | | |
| 2507 WEST GENEVA DRIVE TEMPE, AZ 85282 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | | 2873 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/911,918 | GOLWALKAR ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Jack Dinh | 2873 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED | N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 No | ovember 2005. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,10,11,13-35,41,42 and 46-92</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8.13-35,41,42 and 46-92</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,73-33,47,42 and 40-92</u> is/are anowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| on ordinates and subject to rection and su | orosion roquirement | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | ed in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO 413) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) 🛭 Other: <u>DETAILED A</u> | <u>CTION</u> . | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments and amendment, filed on 11/07/05, with respect to claim 41 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Henson et al. (US Patent 5,325,455).

Regarding claim 10, Henson (figure 1) is interpreted as disclosing an optical coupler for coupling an optoelectronic device to an optical fiber comprising an electrical connector 16, an optical transmission medium 24 disposed proximate the electrical connector, and an encapsulant 14 surrounding at least a portion of the connector and at least a portion of the transmission medium, wherein the electrical connector includes a conductive plug 42 within a microelectronic device.

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 11, Henson (figure 1) is interpreted as further disclosing that the electrical connector comprises a plurality of conductive plugs 42 within a microelectronic device.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 8, 13-35, 41, 42, 46-92 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The present application relates generally to optoelectronice devices. More particularly, the invention relates to apparatus for coupling optical fibers to optoelectronic devices, systems including the apparatus and optoelectronic components, and methods of forming the apparatus and system. The prior art taken either singly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent or dependent claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 USC 102 or 103 would be improper.

Regarding claim 8, the prior art fails to disclose the die attachment material to facilitate bonding of the connector to a substrate.

Regarding claim 13, the prior art fails to disclose that the electrical connector formed at least partially within the encapsulant.

Regarding claim 18, the prior art fails to disclose that at least a portion of the encapsulant comprises a transfer mold compound.

Regarding claim 20, the prior art fails to disclose a substrate comprising electrical connectors formed as electrical traces and conductive plugs.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,918

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 21, the prior art fails to disclose that the guide groove is formed of conductive material.

Regarding claim 23, the prior art alone or in combination fails to disclose an optical interconnect system comprising an optical couple comprising a waveguide, an encapsulant, and an electrical connector formed at least partially within the encapsulant, a fiber optic cable attached to the optical coupler, and a substrate electrically couple to the coupler.

Regarding claim 41, the prior art fails to disclose that at least a portion of the waveguide with the same contiguous encapsulant.

Regarding claim 42, the prior art fails to disclose the step of singulating.

Regarding claim 48-52, the prior art fails to disclose an optical transceiver wherein a photonics component flip-chip mounted to the electrical connector.

Regarding claim 53-57, the prior art alone or in combination fails to disclose an optical transceiver comprising an electrical connector, an optoelectronic component mounted to the first portion of the electrical connector, a substrate attached to a second portion of the electrical connector, an optical transmission medium disposed proximate the electrical connector, an encapsulant surrounding the connector and the transmission medium, and a guide groove formed within a portion of the encapsulant.

Regarding claim 58, the prior art fails to disclose the step of ancapsulating for maintaining the electrical connector and the waveguide in a fixed space relationship.

Regarding claim 70, the prior art fails to disclose an ancapsulant for maintaining the electrical connector and the optical transmission medium in a fixed space relationship.

Regarding claim 71, the prior art fails to disclose an optical transmission medium comprising fused optical fibers having a core diameter less than the first core diameter of the optical fiber.

Regarding claim 81, the prior art fails to disclose an optical transmission medium juxtaposed with the electrical connector, an optical path of the optical transmission medium being parallel to and coextensive with at least a portion of the electrical connector, and an optoelectronic device attached to the electrical connector transverse to the optical path and adjacent one end of the optical transmission medium.

Regarding claim 86, the prior art fails to disclose an optical transmission medium attached to the electrical connector wherein the optical transmission medium being parallel to at least a portion of the electrical connector such that a surface portion of the electrical connector and an end surface of the optical transmission medium form a substantially coplanar surface, and an optoelectronic device attached to the surface portion of the electrical connector and adjacent the optical transmission medium at the coplanar surface.

Other Information/Remarks

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,918

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J.V.

Jack Dinh

RICKY L. MACK PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6